

Thermochemical properties of biomass fuels

Bryan M. Jenkins □ James M. Ebeling

An analysis of 62 kinds of biomass for heat value

Biomass cogeneration and small power systems contribute over 100 Megawatts of electric generating capacity in California, and development of the technology is occurring throughout the world. The first requirement in design of a biomass energy system is an analysis of the fuel to be used. Extensive information is available on wood fuels, but comprehensive data on other kinds of biomass have not been developed.

We conducted research to characterize the fuel properties of a wide range of biomass materials, taking samples from six categories: field crop residues, orchard prunings, vineyard prunings, food and fiber processing wastes, forest residues, and energy crops. We analyzed 62 kinds of biomass for heating value and proximate chemical composition, and also analyzed 51 of those for ultimate elemental composition. All analyses were performed in ac-

TABLE 1. Chemical analysis of biomass fuels

	Q _{vh} MJ/kg	Q _{vl} MJ/kg	Proximate analysis			Ultimate analysis						
			VCM	ASH	FC	C	H	O	N	S	Cl	Residue
	----- % by weight d.b. -----			----- % by weight d.b. -----								
Field crops												
Alfalfa seed straw	18.45	17.36	72.60	7.25	20.15	46.76	5.40	40.72	1.00	0.02	0.03	6.07
Barley straw	17.31	16.24	68.80	10.30	20.90	39.92	5.27	43.81	1.25			9.75
Bean straw	17.46	16.32	75.30	5.93	18.77	42.97	5.59	44.93	0.83	0.01	0.13	5.54
Corn cobs	18.77	17.58	80.10	1.36	18.54	46.58	5.87	45.46	0.47	0.01	0.21	1.40
Corn stover	17.65	16.52	75.17	5.58	19.25	43.65	5.56	43.31	0.61	0.01	0.60	6.26
Cotton stalks	15.83	14.79	65.40	17.30	17.30	39.47	5.07	39.14	1.20	0.02		15.10
Rice straw (fall)*	16.28	15.34	69.33	13.42	17.25	41.78	4.63	36.57	0.70	0.08	0.34	15.90
Rice straw (weathered)†	14.56	13.76	62.31	24.36	13.33	34.60	3.93	35.38	0.93	0.16		25.00
Safflower straw	19.23	18.10	77.05	4.65	18.30	41.71	5.54	46.58	0.62			5.55
Wheat straw	17.51	16.49	71.30	8.90	19.80	43.20	5.00	39.40	0.61	0.11	0.28	11.40
Orchard prunings												
Almond prunings	20.01	18.93	76.83	1.63	21.54	51.30	5.29	40.90	0.66	0.01	0.04	1.80
Black walnut	19.83	18.65	80.69	0.78	18.53	49.80	5.82	43.25	0.22	0.01	0.05	0.85
English walnut	19.63	18.49	80.82	1.08	18.10	49.72	5.63	43.14	0.37	0.01	0.06	1.07
Vineyard prunings												
Cabernet Sauvignon	19.03	17.84	78.63	2.17	19.20	46.59	5.85	43.90	0.83	0.04	0.08	2.71
Cardinal	19.21		78.17	2.22	19.61							
Chenin blanc	19.13	17.94	77.28	2.51	20.21	48.02	5.89	41.93	0.86	0.07	0.10	3.13
Gewurztraminer	19.16		77.27	2.47	20.26							
Merlot	18.84		77.47	3.04	19.49							
Pinot noir	19.05	17.86	76.83	2.71	20.46	47.14	5.82	43.03	0.86	0.01	0.13	3.01
Ribier	19.12		76.97	3.03	20.00							
Thompson Seedless	19.35	18.18	77.39	2.25	20.36	47.35	5.77	43.32	0.77	0.01	0.07	2.71
Tokay	19.31	18.12	76.53	2.45	21.02	47.77	5.82	42.63	0.75	0.03	0.07	2.93
Zinfandel	19.06		76.99	3.04	19.49							
Energy crops												
<i>Eucalyptus</i>												
<i> camaldulensis</i>	19.42	18.23	81.42	0.76	17.82	49.00	5.87	43.97	0.30	0.01	0.13	0.72
<i> globulus</i>	19.23	18.03	81.60	1.10	17.30	48.18	5.92	44.18	0.39	0.01	0.20	1.12
<i> grandis</i>	19.35	18.15	82.55	0.52	16.93	48.33	5.89	45.13	0.15	0.01	0.08	0.41
<i>Casuarina</i>	19.44	18.26	78.94	1.40	19.66	48.61	5.83	43.36	0.59	0.02	0.16	1.43
Cattails	17.81	16.31	71.57	7.90	20.53	42.99	5.25	42.47	0.74	0.04	0.38	8.13
Poplar	19.38	18.19	82.32	1.33	16.35	48.45	5.85	43.69	0.47	0.01	0.10	1.43
Sudan grass	17.39	16.31	72.75	8.65	18.60	44.58	5.35	39.18	1.21	0.08	0.13	9.47

NOTES: For explanation of headings, see text. Data not determined where blanks occur in table. Values of 0.01 for S and Cl are at or below the detectable limit.

* Sample collected immediately after normal harvest of rice in the fall.

† Straw left in the field over winter and sample collected the following spring.

cordance with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standard methods.

The tables include the higher and lower heating values (Q_{vh} and Q_{vl}, respectively), as well as proximate and ultimate compositions. The proximate analysis yields the weight fractions of volatiles (VCM), ash (ASH), and fixed carbon (FC). The ultimate analysis yields the weight fractions of carbon (C), hydrogen (H), oxygen (O), nitrogen (N), sulfur (S), and chlorine (Cl). The values for residue under the ultimate analyses represent the undetermined fraction of the biomass, which is essentially ash. The percentages of ASH from the proximate analyses and of residue from the ultimate analyses are not the same, because two different analytical techniques were used, but the values

are relatively close over the range of ash contents determined. All data in the tables are reported on a dry basis (zero moisture).

We determined the higher heating value by burning a finely ground and pelleted sample of the fuel in oxygen. Q_{vh} is the constant volume heating value. Most biomass combustion and gasification systems operate at close to constant pressure rather than constant volume. The constant pressure heating value can be computed from the constant volume value but, since the correction is small, it can usually be omitted.

The lower heating value was calculated from the higher heating value and the concentration of hydrogen in the fuel at zero moisture. Water, one product of biomass combustion, is formed from the hy-

drogen in the fuel. The ultimate elemental analysis indicates the concentration of hydrogen, in addition to the other elements.

The formation of water is important, because it can leave the system as either vapor or liquid. The higher heating value is determined for water in the liquid phase, the lower heating value for water in the vapor phase. The lower heating value is less than the higher value by the latent energy of water vaporization. Water is seldom condensed in practical combustion systems, but the thermal efficiency of such systems is often reported on the basis of the higher heating value. Moisture in the fuel will reduce both heating values, because there is less dry matter per unit weight of moist fuel. The lower heating value is also reduced because of the additional energy used to vaporize the

TABLE 2. Chemical analysis of biomass fuels

	Proximate analysis		Ultimate analysis									
	Q _{vh}	Q _{vl}	VCM	ASH	FC	C	H	O	N	S	Cl	Residue
	MJ/kg	MJ/kg	----- % by weight d.b. -----			----- % by weight d.b. -----						
Forest residue												
Black locust	19.71	18.55	80.94	0.80	18.26	50.73	5.71	41.93	0.57	0.01	0.08	0.97
Chaparral	18.61	17.58	75.19	6.13	18.68	46.90	5.08	40.17	0.54	0.03	0.02	7.26
Madrone	19.41	18.20	82.99	0.57	16.44	48.00	5.96	44.95	0.06	0.02	0.01	1.00
Manzanita	19.30	18.09	81.29	0.82	17.89	48.18	5.94	44.68	0.17	0.02	0.01	1.00
Ponderosa pine	20.02	18.8	82.54	0.29	17.17	49.25	5.99	44.36	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.30
Tanoak	18.93	17.73	80.93	1.67	17.40	47.81	5.93	44.12	0.12	0.01	0.01	2.00
Tanoak, bark	18.40		73.11	3.49	23.40							
Tanoak, sapwood	19.07		83.61	1.03	15.36							
Redwood	20.72	19.51	79.72	0.36	19.92	50.64	5.98	42.88	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.40
Redwood, bark	19.58		68.44	1.60	29.96							
Redwood, sapwood	20.31		80.12	0.67	19.21							
Redwood, heartwood	21.14		80.28	0.17	19.55							
Redwood, mill wastes	20.98		81.19	0.18	18.63							
White fir	19.95	18.74	83.17	0.25	16.58	49.00	5.98	44.75	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.20
White oak	19.42	18.33	81.28	1.52	17.20	49.48	5.38	43.13	0.35	0.01	0.04	1.61
Food and fiber processing wastes												
Almond hulls	18.22	17.13	71.33	5.78	22.89	45.79	5.36	40.60	0.96	0.01	0.08	7.20
Almond shells	19.38	18.17	73.45	4.81	21.74	44.98	5.97	42.27	1.16	0.02		5.60
Babassu husks	19.92	18.83	79.71	1.59	18.70	50.31	5.37	42.29	0.26	0.04		1.73
Sugarcane bagasse	17.33	16.24	73.78	11.27	14.95	44.80	5.35	39.55	0.38	0.01	0.12	9.79
Coconut fiber dust	20.05	19.02	66.58	3.72	29.70	50.29	5.05	39.63	0.45	0.16	0.28	4.14
Cocoa hulls	19.04	17.97	67.95	8.25	23.80	48.23	5.23	33.19	2.98	0.12		10.25
Cotton gin trash	16.42	15.35	67.30	17.60	15.10	39.59	5.26	36.38	2.09			16.68
Grape pomace	20.34	19.14	68.54	9.48	21.98	52.91	5.93	30.41	1.86	0.03	0.05	8.81
Macadamia shells	21.01	20.00	75.92	0.40	23.68	54.41	4.99	39.69	0.36	0.01		0.56
Olive pits	21.39	20.12	78.65	3.16	18.19	48.81	6.23	43.48	0.36	0.02		1.10
Peach pits	20.82	19.62	79.12	1.03	19.85	53.00	5.90	39.14	0.32	0.05		1.59
Peanut hulls	18.64	17.53	73.02	5.89	21.09	45.77	5.46	39.56	1.63	0.12		7.46
Pistachio shells	19.26	18.06	82.03	1.13	16.84	48.79	5.91	43.41	0.56	0.01	0.04	1.28
Prune pits	23.28	22.08	76.99	0.50	22.51	49.73	5.90	43.57	0.32			0.48
Rice hulls	16.14	15.27	65.47	17.86	16.67	40.96	4.30	35.86	0.40	0.02	0.12	18.34
Walnut shells	20.18	19.02	78.28	0.56	21.16	49.98	5.71	43.35	0.21	0.01	0.03	0.71
Wheat dust	16.20	15.16	69.85	13.68	16.47	41.38	5.10	35.19	3.04	0.19		15.10

