## CHEMICAL WEED CONTROL IN CARNATION TRANSPLANTS

JACK L. BIVINS · CLYDE ELMORE · ART LANGE



TABLE 1. TOTAL WEED COUNT BY SPECIES AFTER HERBICIDE TREATMENT, AND PER CENT WEED REDUCTION FROM CONTROL

					We	ed Coun	t*				Total	Reduction from
Herbicide	Rate	ai/A	α	Ь	c	d	e	f	g	h	_ species	control
		lb				no.					no.	%
pyrazon		2	7	19	5	1	10	14	1	11	68	63.1
pyrazon		4	4	4	3	0	1	3	1	3	19	89.7
propachlor		6	4	6	1	0	0	9	0	8	28	84.8
propachlor		12	0	3	4	0	0	15	0	5	26	85.9
RH 315		0.5	2	6	0	0	1	7	1	19	36	80.5
RH 315		1.0	0	8	0	0	0	6	1	0	15	91.9
cycloate		0.5	3	8	1	0	0	8	2	6	28	84.8
cycloate		1.0	13	16	1	0	0	1	2	8	41	77.2
Control			68	38	23	14	10	10	8	13	184	_

a. Lambs'-quarters (Chenopodium album), b. Dwarf Mallow (Malva rotundifolia), c. Purslane (Portulaca oleracea), d. Annual bluegrass (Poa annua), e. Mare's tail (Erigeron canadensis), f. Bermuda buttercup (Oxalis cernua), g. Prostrate pigweed (Amaranthus blitoides), h. miscellaneous species including common brass button (Cotula coronopifolia), Bur clover (Medicago hispida), Red-stem filaree (Erodium cicutarium), London rocket (Sisymbrium Irio), Common yellow mustard (Brassica campestris), Small Nettle (Urtica urens) and nightshade (Solanum Nigrum).

TABLE 2. CUT FLOWER YIELD AND CROP PHYTOTOXICITY RATING

Herbicide	Rate ai/A	White Sims/ treatment	Scania/ treatment	White Sims harvested/ treatment	Scania harvested/ treatment	Visual phytotoxicity
	Ib <sup>{</sup>	no.	no.	no.*	по.*	rating†
pyrazon	2	18	15	101	111	0
pryazon	4	12	12	69	55	3.0
propachlor	6	15	18	124	165	0
propachlor	12	15	18	124	165	0
RH 315	0.5	16	13	97	81	2.2
RH 315	1.0	0	3	0	13	4.8
cycloate	0.5	15	15	110	143	0
cycloate	1.0	18	18	164	151	. 0
Control		18	15	164	137	Ō

Weeds are a problem in transplanted carnations during early stages of development when there can be no soil fumigation or steam sterilization. Early mechanical control of weeds is made impossible by the wire, plastic or bamboo netting that is placed on beds at planting for plant support. The only alternative is expensive hand weeding. The study reported here evaluates herbicides for control of weeds in transplanted carnations in ground beds.

N THESE TESTS of herbicides for weed L control in carnations, ground beds were prepared for planting by incorporating one inch of redwood shavings into 4 to 6 inches of a Sorrento fine sandy loam soil by rototilling. The herbicides pyrazon (Pyramin), propachlor (Ramrod), RH 315 (Kerb), and cycloate (Roneet) were sprayed on the surface with a 2 gallon X-Pert Hudson sprayer equipped with an 8002 Tee-jet nozzle, and were then incorporated into the soil about one-half inch deep with a garden rake.

A Gates irrigation system was installed and wire netting for later support of plants was put in place. Plants of Improved White Sim and Scania were set in place September 10 using a staggered spacing 6 by 8 inches apart, one week after treating the soil with herbicides. The treatments were replicated four times, with two replications for each of the two carnation varieties.

Weed counts by species, crop phytoxicity ratings, and cut flower yields were taken at intervals after herbicide application (tables 1 and 2). Weed counts and crop phytotoxicity ratings were made October 2, November 10, and December 16. Yield counts were made March 2, April 13, and May 7 by tagging all buds on the plants. The yield reported in table 2 is the total harvest for the first crop season. Individual plots were 2 feet by 2 feet, and the staggered planting design resulted in a different number of crop plants in treatments—which was not necessarily related to herbicide use.

<sup>\*</sup> Harvested March through July.
† Average of 4 replications made on December 16.

Each of the chemicals provided considerable initial reduction in weed population compared with the control area. Propachlor and RH 315 continued to reduce weed numbers until the crop plants completely covered the bed. Pyrazon and RH 315 damaged the crop. The first symptoms of RH 315 and pyrazon were lack of growth and chlorosis (some yellowing of leaves), followed by death of some plants particularly at the 1-lb rate.

Effective weed control of all annual broadleaf and annual grass species was achieved with the herbicides propachlor at rates of 6 and 12 lbs per acre, pyrazon at 4 lbs per acre, and RH 315 at rates of 0.5 and 1.0 lb per acre. Excessive injury was observed with RH 315 at 1.0 lb per acre and moderate injury even at

the 0.5 lb per acre rate. Pyrazon and cycloate were weak on Malva, and cycloate also did not control Chenopodium. Bermuda buttercup, a perennial, produces bulbs—thus differing from the annual weed species growing in the plot area which reproduced from seed. None of the herbicides was effective against Bermuda buttercup. Preemergence herbicides are usually most effective against tender, germinating seedlings. This experiment tends to confirm that these herbicides are weak or ineffective against perennial weeds reproducing from underground storage organs.

The University of California does not have recommendations for herbicides in carnations. However, the herbicide propachlor (Ramrod) has a Federal registration for several field crops and an experimental label for turf.

From the results of this experiment, propachlor appears to be a promising preemergence, pre-plant, herbicide for control of a wide range of weed species. Propachlor when used as a preemergence herbicide has controlled late-emerging weeds. Good incorporation of propachlor into the soil was important for effective weed control.

Jack L. Bivins is Farm Advisor, Santa Barbara County; Clyde Elmore is Extension Weed Specialist, U.C. Davis, and Art Lange is Extension Weed Specialist, San Joaquin Valley Agricultural Research and Extension Center, Parlier.

### DONATIONS FOR AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

Contributions to the University of California, Division of Agricultural Sciences

# RIVERSIDE COLLEGE OF BIOLOGICAL AND AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES

Banco Central de Nicaragua	2,000.00
Frank & Helen Cozza Enterprise	10,000.00
Geigy Agricultural Chemicals	
To the Department of Entomology for research with Supracide on citrus	1,000.00
Engineering	on cholete
Howard Rose Company	
To the Department of Plant Sciences for tissue culture research	, 100.00
I. C. I. America, Inc.	500.00
To the Department of Plant Pathology for research on control of diseases of small grains and cereals	333,33
Jackson & Perkins Co	100.00
To the Department of Plant Sciences for tissue culture research	
Kennecott Copper Corp	6,700.00
To the Department of Plant Pathology for studies of	
Phytophthora palmivora	
League of California Milk Producers	7,500.00
To the Department of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering for research on land disposal of dairy wastes	
Lewis Gardens, Inc.	500.00
To the Department of Plant Sciences for plant tissue culture research	000.00
Merck & Co., Inc.	1,000.00
To the Department of Plant Pathology for research on postharvest fungicides,	,
Olin Agricultural Division	250.00
To the Department of Plant Pathology for seed treat- ment tests with Terra-Coat	
Sloop & Witman, Inc.	500.00
To the Department of Plant Sciences for vegetable literature research	
Thompson-Hayward Chemical Co	500.00
To the Department of Entomology for evaluation of experimental insecticides	
Onpotanional induction	

Union Carbide Corp
ornamental greenhouse crops Universal Chemical 500.00
Unity at Chemical
To the Department of Entomology for strawberry re-
search
Upjohn Company 2,000.00
To the Department of Entomology for mite control research
Walker Nursery
To the Department of Entomology, Division of Biologi-
cal Control for research on biological control of pests
on citrus

#### DAVIS

### SCHOOL OF VETERINARY MEDICINE

Agrophysics, Inc	10,000.00
To the Department of Clinical Sciences for research in	
the control of reproductive processes in animals	
California Turkey Industry Federation	3,250.00
To the Department of Epidemiology and Preventive	
Medicine for research on Arizona bacterins	050.00
Crescent Arabian Horse Assoc.	250.00
To the Department of Clinical Sciences for Arabian	
horse respiratory disease research	950.00
Fort Dodge Laboratories	250. <b>0</b> 0
To the Department of Veterinary Microbiology for re-	
search on Anaplaz Dr. William R. Pritchard2 German short-ha	inad daga
To the Experimental Animal Resources	neu dogs
Riker Laboratories, Inc\$6,634.47 and 1 tissue fre	eze drver
Riker Laboratories, the	
To the Department of Angtomy for research on ling	,
To the Department of Anatomy for research on lung	
morphology	
morphology Mr. George B. Sipple\$	
morphology	
morphology Mr. George B. Sipple  To the Department of Clinical Sciences for cancer research	100.00
morphology Mr. George B. Sipple\$ To the Department of Clinical Sciences for cancer research Syntex Research	100.00
morphology Mr. George B. Sipple  To the Department of Clinical Sciences for cancer research	100.00
morphology Mr. George B. Sipple\$  To the Department of Clinical Sciences for cancer research  Syntex Research  To the Department of Clinical Sciences for research on the physiology of reproduction  Thuron Industries, Inc	100.00
morphology Mr. George B. Sipple	100.00
morphology Mr. George B. Sipple	100.00 6,000.00 1,500.00
morphology Mr. George B. Sipple	100.00
morphology Mr. George B. Sipple	100.00 6,000.00 1,500.00