National and Farm Incomes

time-element in relationships of incomes of economic segments and the national income in periods of change

H. R. Weilman

The belief that economic depressions and booms are farm-bred and farm-led is not validated by an examination of past time-sequences and relationships between national income and some of its important components.

The data used to test that belief were estimates of national income, by industrial origin, made and published by the United States Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce. These data represent the net value added to production by each industry measured at factor costs—materials, labor, transportation.

Coefficients of correlation were computed for the depression period—1929—1941—national income and for the incomes originating in certain segments of the national economy. The adjusted coefficient of determination for national income and income originating in agriculture was .80; in mining it was .92; in contract construction, .90; in manufacturing of metals—iron, steel and honferrous metals—it reached .93; and, in manufacturing of machinery the coefficient was .90.

It is evident from this comparison that during the years 1929–1941 national income was less closely correlated with that originating in agriculture than with those incomes originating in mining, contract construction, manufacturing of metals, or in the manufacturing of machinery.

The belief that farm income falls more rapidly during depressions and leads the rise during booms was further tested by a comparison of the years 1929–1941 and the period of 1929–1941 plus the years of 1947–1950.

Incomes originating in mining, contract construction, manufacturing of metals and manufacturing of machinery, all dropped—on the average—more rapidly than farm income did when the national income was declining.

The belief that farm income leads the rise during booms also must be rejected after a study of the following table.

Averages of Relative First Differences
When National Income Rose

Series	Period			
	19291941	1929—1941 plus 1947—1950		
	per cent of rise	per cent of rise		
Gross farm income.	+10.9	+10.6		
Cash receipts from farm marketings	+12.5	+11.6		
Income originating in	1:			
Agriculture	. +20.1	+16.3		
Mining	. +24.3	+24.3		
Contract construction . Manufacturing	. +29.7	+27.7		
of metals	. +45.3	+39.1		
Manufacturing of machinery .	. +43.2	+37.2		

Another series relating to farm income —personal income derived from agriculture—was employed in the test.

This series was obtained by subtracting seasonally adjusted nonagricultural personal income from seasonally adjusted total personal income. Despite the seasonal adjustments the residual figures representing personal agricultural income may still reflect some seasonal changes.

Major Turning Points in Three
Seasonally Adjusted Quarterly Series

	Total personal income		re	Cash receipts farm marketings		Personal agricul- tural income	
Down-							
turns	4Q.*	1929	4Q.	1929	4Q.	1929	
	3Q.	1937	4Q.	1937	4Q.	1937	
Up-	1Q.	1949	3Q.	1948	3Q.	1948	
turns	2Q.	1933	1Q.	1933	1Q.	1933	
	3Q.	1938	3Q.	1939	1Q.	1939	
	1Q.	1950	1Q.	1950	3Q.	1950	

*Q-Quarter-year.

On the three down-turns, farm income coincided with national income once, followed national income once, and led national income once. On the three upturns, farm income led national income once, followed national income once, and coincided with or followed national income once.

The evidence obtained in the tests fails to validate the belief that agricultural income—more than other important segments of the national economy—leads in the decline or rise of national income.

H. R. Wellman is Vice-President, Agricultural Sciences, University of California, Berkeley.

CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE

Progress Reports of Agricultural Research, published monthly by the University of California College of Agriculture, Agricultural Experiment Station.

Articles in CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE may be republished or reprinted provided no endorsement of a commercial product is stated or implied. Please credit: University of California College of Agriculture.

of California College of Agriculture.

CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE will be sent free upon request addressed to: Agricultural Publications, University of California College of Agriculture, 22 Giannini Hall, Berkeley 4, California. Please allow about two weeks between your request and the arrival of your first copy.

In order that the information in CALI.

In order that the information in CALI-FORNIA AGRICULTURE may be simplified, it is sometimes necessary to use trade names of products or equipment. No endorsement of named products is intended nor is criticism implied of similar products which are not mentioned.



Averages of Relative First Differences When National Income Dropped

	Period		
Series	1929–1941	1929-1941 plus 1947-1950	
	per cent of drop	per cent of drop	
Gross farm income	–13.8	-12.8	
Cash receipts from farm marketings	14.6	-13.4	
Income originating in:			
Agriculture	–17.3	-18.1	
Mining	–22.3	-21.0	
Contract construction		-22.6	
Manufacturing of metals	–27.2	-24.4	
Manufacturing of machinery	–23.6	-21.1	