


Spiraled Heads in Lettuce

malformation in Great Lakes lettuce strains apparently an inherited character producing united wrapper leaf margins

F. W. Zink

Great Lakes lettuce, adapted to culture under a range of environmental conditions, normally produces a medium-large, globular, semi-exposed head. In some plantings, however, a percentage of the plant population develops conical-shaped heads, with the wrapper leaves or head leaves in a spiral-like fold. Market-stage plants of this type are generally referred to as spiraled heads. The shipper and retailer prefer a slightly oblate head with broad, flat butt, which can be packed evenly and firmly.

Plant with the frame leaves removed to show the eighth true leaf with its opposite margins united.



Observations made of 30 variety tests in the central coastal section of California from 1953 to 1957 indicated that some strains of Great Lakes produced more spiraled heads than did others. Premier Great Lakes, Great Lakes 428, and Great Lakes 118 were the least likely to produce spiraled heads. Lettuce planted for May, June or October maturity was more likely to have spiraled heads than lettuce reaching the harvest stage in the summer months. The results from four typical trials with both high

The Percentage of the Plant Population with Spiraled Heads Occurring in 13 Strains of Great Lakes Lettuce in Four Trials

Great Lakes strains	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	Trial 4
	June 28* %	July 20 %	Aug. 8 %	Oct. 10 %
Premier Gt. Lakes. 0	0	0	0	2
Great Lakes 118 . . 2	0	0	0	31
Great Lakes 65 . . . 3	0	0	3	26
Great Lakes 66 . . . 31	0	0	3	30
Great Lakes 6238 . 81	10	52	83	
Great Lakes 366 . . 21	2	1	48	
Great Lakes 54 . . . 27	0	2	21	
Great Lakes "R" . . 25	2	0	44	
Great Lakes "S" . . 41	2	11	63	
Great Lakes A-36 . 31	0	2	38	
Great Lakes 407 . . 30	0	3	56	
Great Lakes 659 . . 71	0	4	88	
Great Lakes 428 . . 0	0	0	18	

* Date of first harvest.

and low percentages of spiraled heads are reported in the table above.


A close examination of plants with spiraled heads has shown that, in many cases, the margins of a wrapper leaf or leaves were united by a natural graft. Two types of united leaf margins were observed: two successively initiated leaves united along one side of the leaf lobe, and a union of opposite margins of a single leaf lobe, forming a tubular-like structure.

A survey was made over a three-year period to determine the relationship of united wrapper leaves to the formation of spiraled heads. This showed that spiral-head formation is associated with the occurrence of united wrapper leaves. This natural graft was found to range from the sixth to the sixteenth true leaf. These leaves—referred to as the wrapper leaves—fold loosely around or over the head. In none of the plants were united leaves found in the terminal bud, commonly called the head. It was also found that more spiraled heads were the result of a wrapper leaf united to itself rather than to a successive leaf.

In another study, observations were made on the head development of young plants with 12–15 true leaves. Fifty plants that had the margins of a single leaf united were selected and marked by placing a stake by the plant. The united leaf margins in 25 of these plants were carefully cut and the subsequent head development compared with that of plants with the united leaf margin intact and with plants that showed no united leaves. The results of three such trials are reported in the table on page 8 and

Concluded on page 8

Tubular-like leaf formed as a result of opposite margins united.



PACKING

Continued from page 2

and \$0.041 for labor. The unit savings with the bulk-filled carton over the standard crate are also \$0.132 for container material, but \$0.160 for labor.

Since operating conditions—such as plant size, proportion of culls, length of operating season, and wage rates—vary among plants, costs in particular plants may differ from those shown. How the costs given in the chart in the second column on page 2, for example, are affected by plant size is illustrated in the graph in the first column on page 2. This shows that with a given length of season, unit costs drop as plant output capacity goes up. In a 300-hour season—for example—the total unit cost, in a plant with a capacity rate of 100 crates per hour, is \$1.162 per crate; in a plant with a capacity rate of 500 crates per hour, total unit cost is \$0.945 per crate; and, in plants with 1,000 crates per hour capacity, total unit cost is \$0.918 per crate.

Unit costs also decrease as length of season—with a given plant capacity—increases. In a plant of 300 crates per hour capacity, total unit cost with 100 hours operation is \$1.344 per crate; with 300 hours operation, \$0.981 per crate; and with 500 hours operation per season, \$0.902 per crate. The reduction in unit cost results from the spreading of fixed costs—a function of plant capacity rate—over a larger season volume.

The costs illustrated in the chart assume

that 20% of the fruit received will be sorted out as culls. Similar estimates based on only 10% culls indicate a level of costs about 1.5¢ lower per standard crate than shown; and with 40% culls these costs would be about 4.5¢ per crate higher.

The variations in unit costs—for the standard crate—as plant operating conditions change also were studied for the other two types of containers and filling methods. While estimated costs with both methods were lower than the standard crate, the range in costs attributable to plant capacity, length of operating season, and proportion of cull fruit would be roughly the same.

Effects on Quality and Price

The effect of new containers and filling methods on fruit quality and market prices is not easily measured. Meaningful comparisons of prices received with different containers require evaluation of many factors for which complete information was not available. These include information as to initial fruit quality, variation in transit and market conditions with respect to different test shipments and the price-effect of trade resistance to new containers available only in light and irregular shipments.

An alternative to evaluation on the basis of prices received on test shipments is to observe the effect of type of container on fruit quality. Test shipment experience and laboratory transit tests have suggested that place-packed or bulk-

filled containers can deliver plums of quality equal to that obtained with the standard crate. Therefore, it appears that the industry could shift to the less costly types of package without adverse effect on market price. This would make the net advantage with the new-type containers equal to the reduction in packing cost. On this basis, the industry during the first year of the change would save—on a 4,500-car annual shipment—roughly \$770,000 annually with the place-packed carton and \$1,330,000 with the bulk-filled carton. Over a longer period of time—taking into account the wear-out of the present packaging and crate-making equipment and the costs of its replacement—slightly larger annual savings could be realized. The changes in equipment are relatively minor, however, and the estimated annual savings would be increased to approximately \$865,000 with the place-packed carton and to \$1,440,000 with the bulk-filled carton.

Dale G. Stallings is Agricultural Economist, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture; and Associate in the Agricultural Experiment Station, University of California, Los Angeles.

L. L. Sammet is Agricultural Economist, University of California, Berkeley.

The place-packed test carton was developed for test shipment by an industry committee in cooperation with the California Grape and Tree Fruit League.

This report is based on a more detailed study, copies of which may be obtained without cost from the Department of Agricultural Economics, Room 207 Giannini Hall, University of California, Berkeley 4.

LETTUCE

Continued from page 6

substantiate the relationship of united wrapper leaves to the development of spiraled heads.

A special study of Great Lakes 6238 and Great Lakes 659 in comparison with Premier Great Lakes showed that the latter strain did not have any plants with united leaves nor any spiraled heads. The other two strains produced many plants with united leaves and spiraled heads.

A number of experiments were conducted to test the hypothesis that a united leaf or leaves bind the head and the resulting mechanical pressure forces the subsequent initiated leaves into a spiral-like fold. In the first series of experiments, plants in the early rosette stage—15-30 true leaves—were selected and a rubber band 2" long and 1/8" wide was placed around each plant and left on until the plants approached market maturity. It was found that, if the rubber band was kept around the upper half of the wrapper leaves—which is the general

area where a united wrapper leaf or leaves exert a similar pressure—the plant would develop a spiraled head.

In a second series of experiments the leaf margins of the sixth or sixth and seventh leaves were stapled together to exert on the developing head a mechanical pressure similar to that obtained by natural union of wrapper leaves. Plastic friction tape was also used to help bind the leaf margins together. The leaf margins were left stapled for 7, 14, 21, and 29 days.

The stapling together of the leaf margins of a single wrapper leaf, or the leaf

margin of one leaf to the successively initiated leaf, caused spiral-head formation. A single wrapper leaf with its leaf margins united was more effective in causing spiral-head development than when the leaf margin of a wrapper leaf was united to a successively initiated leaf. Pressure exerted on the developing head for as short a period as seven days was sufficient to cause spiraled heads, and the longer the pressure was applied the greater the chance for spiral-head formation.

The differences observed between strains of Great Lakes in producing a united wrapper leaf or leaves under certain environmental conditions indicate that this is an inherited character. It appears that Great Lakes is segregating for this character, and that selections could be made within existing strains for freedom from united wrapper leaves, thus reducing the amount of spiral head development.

F. W. Zink is Specialist in Vegetable Crops, University of California, Davis and Salinas.

The above progress report is based on Research Project No. 1175.

Effect of United Leaf Margin on the Subsequent Development of Spiraled Heads.

Test No.	Treatment (25 plants per treatment)	Number of spiraled heads formed
1..	United leaf margin intact	25
	United leaf margin broken	1
	No united leaf margin	0
2..	United leaf margin intact	23
	United leaf margin broken	0
	No united leaf margin	0
3..	United leaf margin intact	21
	United leaf margin broken	2
	No united leaf margin	0