California leads Spain in almond production, exports to world

Juan Ramon Murua  Hoy Carman  Julian Alston

Almond acreage
California almond acreage totaled 113,100 acres in 1960. It more than doubled during the 1960s to 232,300 acres in 1970 and continued to grow to 389,000 acres in 1980. Growth slowed during the 1980s, with acreage totaling 431,900 acres in 1990. Spanish almond acreage also expanded dramatically from 407,700 acres in 1960 to 737,800 acres in 1970, exploding to 1,394,300 acres in 1980. Expansion continued to 1,516,100 acres in 1990. Almond acreage in Spain is now 3.5 times greater than in California.

California's almond industry is concentrated on irrigated acreage in the San Joaquin and Sacramento valleys. "New" in a historical sense, California's almond industry is made up of highly mecha-
nized, relatively large production units. The 1987 U.S. Census of Agriculture reported 6,717 farms with 427,685 acres of almonds, for an average size of almost 64 acres. When the 2,449 farms with less than 15 acres are removed from the total, one finds that there were 4,268 farms with 412,897 acres for an average of almost 97 acres of almond trees per farm. In 1987, there were 104 California farms with 500 acres or more of almonds.

Almond trees have been traditional in Spain since Arab domination more than 500 years ago. Although almond orchards are widely dispersed throughout Spain, commercial production is concentrated in the Mediterranean regions (fig. 1). Approximately three-fourths of Spain's total almond acreage is located in three regions — the north, the Levante region in the center and eastern Andalucia to the south. The Levante region, which includes Valencia, currently accounts for one-third of Spanish almond acreage. Fewer than 112,000 of Spain's 1,516,100 acres of almonds are irrigated; most irrigated acreage is located in the Levante region.

The Spanish almond industry is best characterized as consisting of thousands of very small units, most of which are located in arid areas. Almond production is typically a sideline for farmers who rely on other enterprises for income. Large-scale specialized almond production units are the exception in Spain. Although the area devoted to almond production has increased rapidly, the industry has not evolved into a modern, efficient competitor.

**Average yields**

Average annual almond yields in both California and Spain vary with alternate bearing tendencies and weather conditions during the bloom period. Spanish yields for dryland almonds are also affected by rainfall during the growing season. California yields are much higher than Spanish yields. For example, California growers had an average yield of approximately 1 ton (1,996 pounds, in-shell, or 1,198 pounds, shelled, using an average conversion factor of 0.67) per acre during 1985-1989. Overall Spanish yields during the same 5 years were approximately 389 pounds per acre. Spanish dryland yields averaged approximately 318 pounds per acre, in-shell; irrigated yields averaged approximately 1,197 pounds per acre, in-shell. Because of low yields, annual total Spanish almond production has recently averaged about one-fourth of California's, even though Spain has more than three times the acreage. A model of average annual California al-

---

**EQUATION A**: Estimated yield for California almonds

\[ \text{yield} = -0.071 - 1.007 y_{t-1} + 0.363 y_{t-2} + 0.004 T_t - 1.166 Y_B + 0.608 OB - 0.057 R_t \]

with t-ratios in parentheses and the variables defined as follows:

- \( y_t \) is yield in tons per bearing acre, in-shell weight
- \( y_{t-1}, y_{t-2} \) is the difference between average yield in year \( t-1 \) and \( t-2 \)
- \( T_t \) is an annual time trend
- \( Y_B \) is the proportion of bearing acreage 5 to 9 years old
- \( OB \) is the proportion of bearing acreage greater than 20 years old
- \( R_t \) is the simple average of February rainfall (inches) measured at Fresno, Modesto and Chico

**EQUATION B**: Estimated yield for Spanish almonds

\[ \text{Dry} = 761.98 - 0.51 \text{Dry}_{t-1} + 9.63 \text{T}_{t-1} \]

\[ 37.40 \text{F}_{t-1} - 1.33 \text{MA}_{t-1} + 1.18 \text{MA}_{t-1} + 2.62 \text{JF}_{t-1} \]

\[ (6.61) \quad (-3.19) \quad (-2.44) \quad (-2.06) \quad (+2.41) \quad (+1.42) \]

\[ \text{Irr} = 1635.2 - 0.40 \text{Irr}_{t-1} + 33.84 \text{T}_{t-1} + 1636.26 \text{F}_{t-1} - 4.61 \text{MA}_{t-1} + 2.69 \text{MA}_{t-1} + 19.78 \text{JF}_{t-1} \]

\[ (5.99) \quad (-1.91) \quad (+2.39) \quad (+2.25) \quad (+2.23) \quad (+1.37) \quad (+2.45) \]

with t-ratios in parentheses and the variables defined as follows:

- \( \text{Dry} \) is dryland yield in pounds per bearing acre, in-shell weight
- \( \text{Irr} \) is irrigated yield in pounds per bearing acre, in-shell weight
- \( \text{Dry}_{t-1}, \text{Irr}_{t-1} \) is dryland yield per acre lagged 1 year
- \( \text{T}_{t-1} \) is an annual time trend
- \( \text{F}_{t-1} \) is a simple average of the number of frost days in February in the seven provinces in the Levante and eastern Andalucia
- \( \text{MA}_{t-1} \) is a simple average of January-February rainfall (millimeters) in the seven provinces in the Levante and eastern Andalucia
- \( \text{JF}_{t-1} \) is a simple average of March-April rainfall (millimeters) in the seven provinces in the Levante and eastern Andalucia
- \( \text{Irr}_{t-1} \) is a simple average of July rainfall (millimeters) in the seven provinces in the Levante and eastern Andalucia

Note: Spanish data, which are reported in metric measures, were converted using the following factors:

- 1 hectare = 2.471054 acres
- 1 metric ton = 1.102311 tons
- 1 kilogram per hectare = 0.892179 pound per acre.

**EQUATION C**: Estimated net annual change in California almond acreage

\[ \Delta A_t = -210.65 + 245.0 \text{RE}_{t-1} - 180.4 \text{CST}_{t-1} + 0.43 \text{PL}_{t-1} \]

\[ (-0.70) \quad (+4.26) \quad (-3.40) \quad (+3.58) \]

with t-ratios in parentheses and the variables defined as follows:

- \( \Delta A_t \) = net annual change in California almond acreage (annual planting minus annual removals) in year \( t \), thousand acres
- \( \text{RE}_{t-1} \) = the expected present value of a 30-year stream of revenues from a new planting made in time \( t \), based on extrapolation of average revenues for the previous 5 years
- \( \text{CST}_{t-1} \) = the expected present value of a 30-year stream of variable costs from a new planting made in time \( t \), based on extrapolation of average variable costs for the previous 5 years
- \( \text{PL}_{t-1} \) = thousand acres of new plantings made in year \( t-1 \)

**EQUATION D**: Estimated net annual change in Spanish almond acreage

\[ \Delta A_t = -97.12 + 17.06 \text{AP}_{t-1} - 227.6 \text{Cln}_{t-1} + 15.95 \text{SUB}_{t-1} + 56.40 \text{D22}_{t-1} + 0.55 \text{OB}_{t-1} \]

\[ (4.93) \quad (2.99) \quad (-2.47) \quad (5.25) \quad (15.35) \quad (5.00) \]

with t-ratios in parentheses and the variables defined as follows:

- \( \Delta A_t \) = net annual change in Spanish almond acreage, thousand acres, (total acreage in year \( t \) minus total acreage in year \( t-1 \))
- \( \text{AP}_{t-1} \) = the expected price of almonds (dollars per ton) based on a simple average of prices for years \( t-1 \) and \( t-2 \)
- \( \text{Cln}_{t-1} \) = an index of current costs measured by the General Farm Price Index in year \( t \) (published by Spanish Department of Agriculture)
- \( \text{SUB}_{t-1} \) = a zero-one variable to measure the impact of government planting subsidies (assumes a value of 1 for the years 1969 through 1979, except for 1972)
- \( \text{D22}_{t-1} \) = a zero-one variable to account for unusually high new plantings in 1972
- \( \text{OB}_{t-1} \) = acres of old bearing trees in year \( t-1 \) (trees greater than 34 years old, thousand acres)

*Zero-one variables (also referred to as dummy variables) are used to estimate the effects of phenomena that can be observed but not measured, such as the presence of government programs. For example, the coefficient on the subsidy variable (SUB) measures the increase in net almond acreage that occurred during the years that Spanish government planting subsidies were effective.*
mond yields was estimated for 1950-1990. This model included variables to measure the impact of alternate bearing, an upward trend in yields due to improved technology, the changing age structure of trees and rainfall during the bloom period in February and early March. The estimated yield equation is Equation A (see box). These results are generally consistent with expectations and they track the data well.

The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of 0.82 indicates that the variables included in the equation account for 82% of the variation in annual almond yields. The coefficient on lagged yield is close to -1, which indicates that yields tend to be distributed symmetrically around the normal value due to alternate bearing. This implies that any year's yield is expected to be below (or above) normal by the same amount as last year's yield was above (below) normal. The small positive coefficient on the change in yield from 2 years ago to last year is consistent with the idea that some changes in yield persist to some extent for more than 1 year. The coefficient on trend ($T$) indicates that average annual yields increased 0.04 ton per acre over the 40-year period. The coefficients on the variables for young and old trees are plausible.

As the proportion of young trees rises, average yield falls, and as the proportion of old trees rises, average yields rise. The latter result was unexpected, but not implausible if the majority of trees in the older category has been relatively young — that is, trees at their peak yield rather than trees in decline. In any event, the coefficient was not significantly different from zero by statistical standards. Finally, as expected, increased rainfall during the bloom period in February resulted in lower average yields. A rainfall variable for March did not add to the explanatory power of the yield equation and was dropped. The yield equation for dryland, which is most of the acreage, explains a much higher percentage of the annual variation in yields than does the equation for irrigated acreage, as measured by the coefficient of determination ($R^2$) statistics of 0.75 and 0.42 for dryland and irrigated acreage, respectively.

There was an annual downward trend in average Spanish dryland yields of approximately 9.6 pounds per acre during 1971-1989. At the same time, annual irrigated yields increased approximately 33.8 pounds per acre. Overall, there was a slight downward trend in Spanish yields because of the high percentage of dryland cultivation. January-February rainfall and February frost had the expected negative impact on yields; increased rainfall during the growing season was associated with higher yields, even on irrigated acreage.

Of the several factors that explain Spain's low almond yields, the lack of irrigation systems in arid areas is a major cause. Spanish almond trees are also especially sensitive to late winter frosts; the most common varieties of Spanish almonds bloom early, making them vulnerable to late winter and early spring frosts. Because of this phenomenon, there is an interest in introducing later blooming varieties. We have no information on Spanish use of bees for pollination, but given the small-scale nature of...
Most expansion of almond plantings has occurred on poor land. Perhaps because Spanish farmers traditionally devoted land to almond orchards that competed only with olives, they believed that almonds would yield profits even on marginal land. Such a planting policy has obviously contributed to low yields. The nature of the problem can be illustrated with aggregate data. Between 1965 and 1990, total land area planted to almonds increased by a factor of about 2.5, but almond production increased by a multiple of only 1.8.

Other structural problems are hard to overcome. In most cases the irregularity of the terrain and the small size of the orchards forbid mechanization. Almonds are still harvested mainly by hand; mechanical harvesting, along with its accompanying cultural practices, is barely utilized. Hand harvesting is particularly labor intensive, and because of the small size of the orchards, it is undertaken mostly by families. This situation could change in the future, however, as family labor becomes scarce. One can speculate that reduced labor would have two impacts, a move toward mechanical harvesting and a reduction of marginal acreage not suited to mechanical harvesting.

Changes in almond investment

Almond production varies from year to year as yields vary and over time as acreage adjusts in response to producer decisions regarding plantings and removals of almond trees. Detailed analyses of plantings and removals over time indicate that net investment in almond production is largely an economic decision in both California and Spain. Almond producers, in aggregate, tend to increase acreage in response to favorable profit expectations and decrease acreage in response to unfavorable profit expectations. Although the process by which producer profit expectations are formed has been modeled in various ways, expectations are most often based on recent experience with revenue and costs. Estimated empirical relationships for net investment in almond production are presented for both California and Spain.

A model of annual net changes in California almond acreage was estimated for 1951-1990. The model included variables to measure the impact of revenues, costs, and recent plantings. The estimated equation for net annual change in California almond acreage (annual planting minus annual removals) is Equation C (see box).

These results are generally consistent with expectations and the variables included on the right-hand side of the equation explain about 66% of the variation in the net annual change in California almond acreage. California almond producers have tended to increase new almond plantings and decrease removals when almond prices and revenues increased, other factors being equal. As expected, their response to cost increases was to decrease plantings and increase removals as costs increased. Increased new plantings in the previous year (t-1) were also associated with increased net investment in year t.

There is some evidence, from previous research, that changing income tax laws could affect producer decisions on tree crop plantings and removals. Variables to directly measure the impact of tax law changes on almond plantings and removals were investigated, but preliminary results were mixed and inconclusive. Research on the impacts of changing tax laws on almond acreage response continues.

A model of annual net changes in Spanish almond acreage, similar to the California model, was estimated for 1965-1989. The model included variables to measure the impact of revenues, costs, government planting subsidies and the acreage of trees in various age categories. The estimated net annual change in Spanish almond acreage (total acreage in year t minus total acreage in year t-1) is Equation D (see box).

These results are generally consistent with expectations and the results of the acreage response equation for California. The variables included on the right-hand side of the equation explain more than 80% of the variation in the net annual change in Spanish almond acreage.

Spanish almond producers have tended to increase new almond plantings when average prices increased and have reduced acreage when costs increased. They have also tended to increase investment as old almond acreage increased. Spanish producers reacted, as expected, to government planting/production incentives and subsidies. Although California producers do not enjoy similar explicit subsidies, one would expect them to react similarly if such subsidies were available. This similarity in economic behavior is important to the decision-making of California producers.

Conclusion

California and Spain, the world’s two major almond producers, expanded almond acreage and production significantly during the late 1960s and 1970s. Their production systems differ. California acreage is irrigated, high yielding, mechanized and large scale; most Spanish acreage is planted on dryland, is small scale, is not mechanized, utilizes family labor, has low, variable yields and is a secondary enterprise for most producers. As a consequence, California’s higher yields result in an average annual production that is some four times larger than Spain’s on less than one-third the acreage.

Analysis of producer acreage response indicates that producers in the two countries respond to similar factors. Both California and Spanish producers tend to expand acreage when prices increase or costs decrease and tend to decrease net investment when profit potential decreases. Spanish producers also react, as expected, to planting/production incentives and subsidies. Although California producers do not enjoy similar explicit subsidies, one would expect them to react similarly if such subsidies were available. This similarity in economic behavior is important to the decision-making of California producers.

Actions taken by California producers to increase almond prices will encourage increased production by their major international competitors if these higher prices are transmitted (as they surely will be) to international markets.
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