Method of irrigation affects sour skin rot of onion
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Sour skin rot of onion was controlled successfully by substituting furrow irrigation for overhead sprinkler irrigation. Fresh weight of bulbs and percentage soluble solids were not affected by the irrigation method or the volume of water delivered.

Sour skin rot of onion is a serious bacterial disease of processing onions in California's Central Valley. The bacteria (Pseudomonas cepacia) enter plants through wounds in young leaves and progress down the leaf to rot the corresponding scales in the bulb. The infected leaf develops a watery rot in the onion neck, which turns light brown and can be removed easily from the plant. In advanced stages, infected scales discolor and separate from healthy portions of the bulb. Severe outbreaks may result in the rejection of entire lots of onions at the processing plant.

Although details of the survival and spread of P. cepacia are not well understood, the pathogen is known to be soilborne or present in decaying plant tissue, and moved in irrigation water. It has not been reported on onion seed. Bacteria, including P. cepacia, are readily transported in water, and many bacterial diseases depend upon rain or sprinkler irrigation for their spread.

Our dry California climate serves as a natural control for many bacterial diseases, but sprinkler irrigation may create conditions that favor disease. Careful management of sprinkler irrigation can limit the infection of crops subject to bacterial disease. The objective of this study was to determine the influence of the irrigation method and the amount of water applied by sprinkler irrigation on the incidence of sour skin rot and yield in processing onions.

South Port White Globe onions were direct-seeded at the University of California West Side Field Station, Fresno County, in January 1987 and 1988. When the plants developed three to four leaves in mid-April of each year, the fields were divided lengthwise into four sections of 16 beds each. Sprinklers were placed between the center two rows of each section and gated pipe was laid transversely across the field, creating 16 sections. These sections accommodated four replications of four irrigation regimes: furrow irrigation all season; sprinkler irrigation until bulbing and furrow irrigation for the remainder of the season; sprinkler irrigation to 30 days past bulbing and furrow irrigation for the remainder of the season; and sprinkler irrigation all season.

In this study, bulbing, which occurred in late May in both years, is defined as the time when the bulb diameter is about twice that of the neck. Each week included two 6-hour sprinkler sessions and one furrow irrigation. The final irrigation came in the first week in July each year.

The total seasonal water applications for treatments 1 through 4, including furrow irrigations, were 24.9, 24.3, 21.9, and 19.3 inches in 1987 and 38.0, 37.2, 36.5, and 36.0 inches in 1988. Variations in pump pressure and an increase in the number of irrigations because of weather conditions accounted for the differences in totals for the two years.
Plots were centered between risers and located in the second beds on both sides of sprinkler lines and on the corresponding two beds in the furrow-irrigated sections. Both beds in each section were divided into 10-foot subplots, which were either inoculated or noninoculated. The inoculum consisted of a water suspension of P. cepacia, approximately 1 million bacteria per ml, and was applied to runoff using a compressed-air sprayer during two regularly scheduled sprinkler irrigations in the first and third weeks of June in both years.

Disease incidence was evaluated in mid-July. All bulbs in the subplots were cut just below the shoulder, and disease incidence was expressed as the percentage of bulbs with internal discoloration. Pseudomonas cepacia was recovered in culture from representative diseased bulbs.

Yield data were collected in August 1987 and July 1988. Fresh weights of all bulbs from 20 feet of the third (noninoculated) bed on both sides of the sprinkler line and the corresponding beds in the furrow-irrigated plots were recorded in 1987. Identical measurements were taken from 10 feet per plot in 1988. The percentage of soluble solids in a sample of about 30 macerated onions taken from each plot was measured with a refractometer.

The incidence of sour skin was significantly less in the two treatments employing furrow irrigation for most or all of the season than in the two treatments irrigated by sprinkler for most or all of the season (table 1). The highest percentage of rot occurred in plots irrigated by sprinkler all season. Rot found in uninoculated checks was attributed to natural spread and infection.

There were no significant differences in fresh weight of bulbs or percentage soluble solids among the four irrigation systems in either year. Yields ranged from 2.8 to 3.4 and 5.9 to 6.6 pounds per bed foot in 1987 and 1988, respectively. Percentage soluble solids varied from 22.5 to 23.7 in 1987 and 13.6 to 15.0 in 1988.

**Volume of overhead irrigation**

We tested the influence of variations in the amount of water applied by sprinklers on disease incidence and yield by altering the nozzle orifice size in five commercial onion fields. The experiment was conducted in fields of fresh-market and processing onions in Kern County in 1987 and 1988, respectively, and in three fields of processing onions in 1989 in Fresno County. Plots were established between two adjacent sprinkler lines 16 beds apart.

Two opposite pairs of sprinklers, forming a square, were fitted with nozzles having orifices 3½, ¾, or ¼ inch in diameter. These nozzles delivered 0.10, 0.20, and 0.26 inches water per hour, respectively.

There were four replications of each treatment (each orifice size). Irrigation schedules varied, but most were every 4 to 7 days and 2 to 6 hours long.

One of the center beds in each plot was inoculated with P. cepacia as described above during regularly scheduled irrigations in June. Plots were inoculated twice in 1987 and 1988 and once in 1989. All bulbs in the center 10 feet of each inoculated bed in the 1987 Kern County trial and 100 bulbs in each plot in the other trials were cut and evaluated for disease in mid-July. Fresh weights of bulbs in 10 feet in each treatment were determined and soluble solids were measured as described above.

The volume of water delivered by the three nozzle orifice sizes increased with increasing orifice diameter. However, there was no correlation between the amount of water delivered and the percentage of rotted onions. The incidence of sour skin was low in four trials (less than 10% in all treatments), but high in the other trial (63% in all treatments).

The fresh weight of bulbs was significantly lower in two experiments during 1989 in which onions were irrigated using ¾-inch nozzles than with either 3½- or ¼-inch nozzles (table 2). No significant differences in the fresh weight of bulbs were found among treatments in the 1987 or 1988, or in one of the 1989 experiments. Percentage soluble solids was not affected significantly by the variable amounts of water in any trial.

**Conclusions**

Method of irrigation has a substantial impact on the incidence of sour skin of onions. Season-long overhead irrigation provided a favorable environment for sour skin rot, whereas furrow irrigation resulted in almost complete control of the disease. Only treatments in which sprinkler irrigation was used after bulbing resulted in high levels of the disease. The final four or five sprinkler irrigations were accompanied by a 150 to 300% increase in disease. Where sour skin is a potential problem, a change from sprinkler to furrow irrigation—at least from bulbing to the end of the season—is advisable.

The possibility that disease incidence could be lowered by reducing the volume of water delivered was not substantiated by our experiments. Varying the amounts of water with different sizes of nozzle orifice did not reduce the incidence of sour skin in plots irrigated with even the smallest volume of water. Thus, growers opting for season-long overhead irrigation may not be able to reduce the volume of sprinkler irrigation enough to reduce disease while maintaining optimum yields.

Percentage soluble solids of the bulbs was not affected by differing amounts of water delivered by the three nozzle sizes. However, yield as measured by fresh weight of bulbs was reduced in two experiments in 1989 where the ¾-inch-diameter orifice sprinklers were used. This may also have been true for the third 1989 experiment, but the test for significance failed by a slight margin.

The ¾-inch nozzle size offers no advantage for disease control, and may be deleterious to yield. The ¼-inch nozzle appears to be a better choice than the ½-inch nozzle because the former delivers significantly less water, but does not reduce the yield of fresh bulb weight or the percentage soluble solids content of bulbs.

**Table 1. Effect of sprinkler and furrow irrigation on sour skin rot incidence in onion, Kern County.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irrigation</th>
<th>No inoc. inoc. inoc. inoc. inoc. %</th>
<th>No inoc. inoc. inoc. inoc. inoc. %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Furrow all season</td>
<td>0.3±et 0.1a 6.7a 4.4a</td>
<td>1.2 a 0.2a 6.6a 1.2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprinkle to bulbing then furrow</td>
<td>0.3±et 0.1a 6.7a 4.4a</td>
<td>1.2 a 0.2a 6.6a 1.2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprinkle to 30 days past bulbing then furrow remainder of season</td>
<td>9.5± 1.6 17.4 11.1</td>
<td>29.9± 4.6 42.6 13.6b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are means of four replications of about 200 onions each. Onions were inoculated by spraying a water suspension of Pseudomonas cepacia, twice on leaves in June. 
†Means in each column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly according to Duncan’s Multiple Range Test, P < 0.05.
‡Bulbing occurred in late May of both years.

**Table 2. Yield of onions that were sprinkler-irrigated with three sizes of nozzle orifice, Kern County.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nozzle orifice diameter</th>
<th>1987</th>
<th>1988</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/32</td>
<td>51.4± 34.8a 40.6a 24.9a 22.4a</td>
<td>52.2a 38.7a 44.7a 52.6b 30.1b</td>
<td>50.6a 33.2a 45.9a 54.2b 33.2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Four replications of each treatment. Fresh market onions used in 1987, all others are processing onions.
†Identical experiments located in three fields designated A, B, and C.
‡Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly according to Duncan’s Multiple Range Test, P = 0.05.