Caterpillar Damage to Tomatoes

results based on one-year survey indicate no evidence of resistance to insecticides in nine commercial tomato fields

John Underhill and A. E. Michelbacher

Suspected resistance to DDD and DDT in controlling caterpillars attacking tomatoes—the corn earworm, in particular—was investigated in San Joaquin County during the 1955 season.

Nine commercial tomato fields were selected in several localities from the west to the east side of the county. The growers co-operated in the project and kept records of the material used and the date and rate of application.

During the growing period, four surveys were conducted to determine the seasonal trend of infestation. Excellent results based on one-year survey indicate no evidence of resistance. However, further investigation is needed for it is possible that the 1955 season was one which was unfavorable to the development of large caterpillar populations. Evidence obtained on other crops indicated that this might have been the case. For example, caterpillar pests of walnut appeared to be less abundant and destructive in 1955 than in previous years.

The activity of other pests was observed in the selected fields. In none of them was any damage by the tomato mite encountered. By midseason some increase in the leaf miner population was observed in some of the fields. However, in only one out of the nine did the population reach a moderate level, and in three not enough were found to make it worthwhile to record.

The effectiveness of the tomato insect control program has held up remarkably well. Cases of poor control are probably due—in part—to inadequate timing and improper application. Fields should be watched closely, and thorough and even treatments applied before destructive populations develop.
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actual frost conditions. However, tests were made under atmospheric conditions which were quite similar to those occurring under actual frost conditions.

During these tests—just before sunrise—no hot air from the ramjets escaped as every bit of it was drawn into the propeller blast. The warm blast from the machine did reach the ground out in the orchard. So much air had been mixed with the hot exhaust from the ramjets that by the time the blast reached the ground the temperature of the mixture was not appreciably higher than that of the air in an orchard under the protection of heaters. The blast had no more tendency to rise out of the orchard than the air in an orchard under any other form of adequate protection.

The results of these preliminary tests are encouraging and some reduction in the noise is promised. Further tests of the ramjet-rotor machine under actual frost conditions are planned for 1957.
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Harry Hansen, United States Frost Warning Service, members of the University of California Agricultural Extension Service, and individual growers co-operated in the studies reported in the above progress report.
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