Citrus Decline Virus

vein clearing and stem pitting as diagnostic symptoms shorten tests

J. M. Wallace and R. J. Drake

Consistent, diagnostic symptoms of citrus quick decline in California have been identified as leaf vein clearing and stem pitting. The symptoms can be induced in a relatively short time, and on seedling plants.

Lime seedlings developed these symptoms within four to seven weeks after inoculation, in contrast to a period of several months usually required for the appearance on budded trees of symptoms similar to those of severely girdled trees.

Studies of quick decline have been slowed by the absence of a specific top symptom, and the necessity of using budded or grafted trees of susceptible stock-scion combinations. Now, lime seedlings will be available for short-time inoculations, in contrast to a period of several months usually required for the inoculation, in contrast to a period of several months usually required for the appearance on budded trees of symptoms similar to those of severely girdled trees.

Transmission tests were made with the melon aphid—Aphis gossypii Glover—a vector of the quick-decline virus. About 80 aphids that had fed for two days on diseased limes were caged on a group of 30 young lime seedlings which averaged two inches in height. After four weeks some of the seedlings appeared to be diseased. At seven weeks from the time of inoculation, nine of the plants showed symptoms of disease and as the plants became larger, the symptoms were indistinguishable from those obtained earlier on somewhat older plants that had been infected by tissue grafting. Transfers were made from the lime seedlings that had been infected either by tissue grafts or by aphid to sweet orange on sour rootstock trees. Transfers from test trees inoculated from both sources developed quick-decline symptoms typical of the sweet on sour combination.

Leaf Symptoms

In the search for a leaf symptom of quick decline, seedlings of many different citrus species and varieties were inoculated by the insertion of buds from sweet orange trees known to be infected with quick decline.

The young leaves of some of the inoculated seedlings of certain varieties showed faint vein-clearing at times, but it was not established with certainty that such symptoms had been induced by the quick-decline virus.

Later, large numbers of young seedlings of West Indian—the Mexican—lime were inoculated by means of tissue grafts from quick-decline-affected trees in southern California. At the time of inoculation the tops of the lime seedlings were cut off, to force new leaf growth from axillary buds. On many plants the first leaves that developed after the top was removed were small, chlorotic, and slightly cupped. As these leaves aged they became somewhat mottled and frequently showed slight vein clearing. Leaves that formed later were usually only slightly paler than normal, but many manifested a conspicuous vein clearing typical of certain other virus diseases and similar in many respects to the young-leaf symptoms of psorosis on citrus.

Inoculations of lime were made from several different quick decline sources to eliminate the possibility that the trees furnishing inoculum in the first tests had been infected also with one of the strains of psorosis, or some other unknown virus. At the same time other lime seedlings were inoculated with the psorosis virus to compare the leaf symptoms of the two diseases. Results of these tests showed that the symptoms developing on lime after inoculation from quick-decline trees differed from those induced by the psorosis virus.

Lime seedlings inoculated by means of tissue grafts from several symptomless and supposedly virus-free citrus sources outside the quick decline area failed to reveal the presence of any latent or undetected viruses in any of the sources tested.

Transmission tests were made with the melon aphid—Aphis gossypii Glover—a vector of the quick-decline virus. About 80 aphids that had fed for two days on diseased limes were caged on a group of 30 young lime seedlings which averaged two inches in height. After four weeks some of the seedlings appeared to be diseased. At seven weeks from the time of inoculation, nine of the plants showed symptoms of disease and as the plants became larger, the symptoms were indistinguishable from those obtained earlier on somewhat older plants that had been infected by tissue grafting. Transfers were made from the lime seedlings that had been infected either by tissue grafts or by aphid to sweet orange on sour rootstock trees. Transfers from test trees inoculated from both sources developed quick-decline symptoms typical of the sweet on sour combination.

Stem Pitting

Many of the lime seedlings that had shown leaf symptoms after inoculation from quick-decline sources were examined for pitting of the trunks and branches. Externally, the small plants showed no abnormal bark conditions, but when bark was stripped from the trunks of the diseased plants nearly all of them showed numerous small pits or longitudinal depressions in the outer wood.

Recent independent investigations in California and other citrus producing areas seem to demonstrate conclusively that stem-pitting of grapefruit in South Africa, the lime disease in West Africa, and citrus tristeza in South America and quick decline in California are caused by the same virus, though the strains of the virus may vary in different localities.

Earlier observations indicated that quick decline affected only budded trees of certain combinations of scion and rootstock. Later research demonstrated that the same virus can also induce specific symptoms on seedlings of certain citrus species which differ widely from the reactions of susceptible budded combinations. It now seems established that what were thought to be three distinct diseases are merely variations in reaction of different hosts or host combinations—scion and stock—to infection with the same virus.

Previously established ideas of control or prevention must be revised. It was be-
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We are informed that seedling trees of commercial varieties would not be affected—and that injury could be avoided in new plantings of budded citrus trees—by the use of scion-stock combinations that were not susceptible to the breakdown of the phloem sieve tubes in the vicinity of the bud union which caused the girdling-like effect of diseased trees. The threatened destruction of the lime industry in West Africa which consists largely of seedling trees, and the decline of grapefruit trees in South Africa from the stem-pitting form of the disease disproved these earlier ideas.

Preventive control of citrus quick decline is much more complicated than was formerly believed.

J. M. Wallace is Plant Pathologist, University of California College of Agriculture, Riverside. R. I. Drake is Senior Laboratory Technician, University of California College of Agriculture, Riverside.

The above progress report is based on Research Project No. 1376.

CORRECTION

In the article, Apricot Harvest Predictable, published on page 3 of the March, 1951 issue of CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE, the first formula used in the harvest prediction method should read:

\[ r = \frac{\Sigma xy}{\sqrt{\Sigma x^2 \Sigma y^2}} \]

Example, using data from the table in the original article:

\[ r = \frac{-422.24}{\sqrt{117.344,385.6576}} = -0.908. \]

In the second formula,

\[ E = \bar{y} - \frac{\Sigma xy}{\Sigma x^2} \]

\[ E \] is the predicted number of days between full bloom and harvesting time.

DONATIONS FOR AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

Gifts to the University of California for research by the College of Agriculture accepted in March, 1951

BERKELEY

Corn Industries Research Foundation ........................................... $7,000.00
To continue studies in plant biochemistry of starch and other carbohydrates

Monsanto Chemical Company ........................................... 5 pounds pentachlorophenol
2 pounds wetting agent
1 gallon pentachlorophenol
10 pounds sodium pentachlorophenate
For weed test plot work

The Rockefeller Foundation ........................................... $1,000.00
For technical assistance and supplies for the work on the ecology and geography of South American plants

Shell Chemical Corporation ........................................... 6 gallons of Shell CPB-55
1 gallon of Shell Diamine A
For soil fumigation for control of strawberry root disease organisms

DAVIS

American Potash Institute ........................................... 3,000 pounds potash salts
For study of potassium nutrition of fruit trees

Bauer-Schweitzer Hop & Malt Company ........................................... $1,200.00
For barley research

California Farm Bureau Federation ........................................... $746.01
Toward purchase of a fluorimeter for studies in poultry husbandry

Dow Chemical Company ........................................... 1 gallon S-1158 (amine of 2,4,5-T)
1 gallon Formula 40 amine 2,4-D
For use in experimental work on brush control

Miller Malting Company ........................................... $1,200.00
For barley research

Naugatuck Chemical Division of U. S. Rubber Company .... 20 quarts maleic hydrazide as diethanolamine salt (75 pounds)
13 gallons maleic hydrazide as diethanolamine salt (130 pounds)
For use in experimental weed control
For use in research work in truck crops

R. Volmer and Sons ........................................... 600.00
For barley research

LOS ANGELES

Kellems-Planters ........................................... 6 Kellems-Planters
For foriture and ornamental horticulture

Pacific Toro Company ........................................... 300 pounds milorganite
For experimental research in turf care

Smith-Gates Corporation ........................................... 40 feet Themotape
1 Temstat (thermostat)
For use in foriture and ornamental horticulture

RIVERSIDE

American Cyanamid Company ........................................... $3,000.00
For investigations on evaluation of certain organic materials for their insecticidal and fungicidal value against fruit pests
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